

Statement of Substance of Interview  
U.S. Application No. 09/773,248

Attorney Docket No. Q62889

- II. assuming *arguendo* that the instant Office Action is correct in the analysis of the claims, the analysis continues to be deficient because the individual independent claims recite features that qualify the predetermined subscription conditions, and such qualifying features are absent from the Inga and Bacus references.


The Examiner argued that Inga's Col. 6, line 58, to Col. 7, line 12, if given a very broad meaning, disclosed the features recited in Part (I), above. As to Part (II), above, the Examiner was unable during the telephonic interview to specifically point to citations in the references that disclose the noted features. However, the Examiner argued that he felt these features were present in the references. Applicant's representative respectfully disagreed.

In summary, no agreement was reached as to the patentability of the claims.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Jason C. Beckstead  
Registration No. 48,232

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (650) 625-8100  
Facsimile: (650) 625-8110

MOUNTAIN VIEW OFFICE

23493

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 18, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office this 18th day of August, 2004.

  
Mariann Tam